**FILED** Yolo Superior Court 5/25/2021 1:46 PM By: C. Gojkovich, Deputy JENNIE LEE ANDERSON (SBN 203586) ANDRUS ANDERSON LLP 155 Montgomery Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 986-1400 Facsimile: (415) 986-1474 iennie@andrusanderson.com ELIZABETH A. FEGAN (pro hac vice to be filed) FEGAN SCOTT LLC 150 S. Wacker Dr., 24<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: 312.741.1019 Fax: 312.264.0100 beth@feganscott.com 8 JONATHAN D. LINDENFELD (pro hac vice to be filed) FEGAN SCOTT LLC 10 140 Broadway, 46<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10005 11 Telephone: 332.216.2101 Fax: 917.725.9346 12 jonathan@feganscott.com 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 FOR THE COUNTY OF YOLO 16 CV2021-0939 17 MADISON BUTLER, BAILEY JOHNSON, CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CORRIE O'BRIEN, and URVASHI MAHTO, 18 individually and on behalf of all others similarly JURY TRIAL DEMANDED situated 19 20 Plaintiffs, 21 vs. 22 REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF 23 **CALIFORNIA** 24 25 Defendant. 26 27 28 - 1 -**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

<sup>3</sup> https://theaggie.org/2020/11/02/uc-davis-will-no-longer-offer-for-credit-pe-classes-

starting-winter-quarter-2021/ (last accessed May 21, 2021).

28

<sup>4</sup> Exhibit A.

1	Defendant to reinstate the PE Program and reimburse UC Davis students for all academic quarters
2	in which they paid the Student Activities and Services Maintenance Fees and the PE Program was
3	not offered for academic credit, a declaratory judgment holding that UC Davis breached the 1994
4	Agreement, and compensatory damages, including reimbursement of improperly collected fees
5	together with pre-judgment interest, to all members of the Class who paid the fees.
6	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
7	GERREDICITIES VERVEE
8	13. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the California Constitution,
9	Article VI, §, 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.
10	14. Venue is proper in this court because Plaintiffs reside and/or attend school in Yolo
11	County, California, where UC Davis is located, and the injury therefore occurred in Yolo County,
12	California.
13	PARTIES
14	A. Plaintiffs
15	15. Plaintiff Madison Butler is a student at UC Davis and a citizen of the State of
16	California. Ms. Butler has been enrolled at UC Davis since 2018 and her anticipated graduation date
17	is June 2022. Ms. Butler is a human development studies major.
18	16. Prior to Defendant's breach of the 1994 Agreement, Ms. Butler enrolled in PE
19	courses for academic credit during the spring and fall quarters 2019, and the spring and fall quarters
20	2020. Ms. Butler intended to enroll in PE courses for academic credit during the winter and spring
21	2021 quarters but was unable to due to the cancellation the program. Ms. Butler has paid the
22	Student Activities and Services Maintenance Fees each quarter she was matriculated at UC Davis.
23	17. Plaintiff Bailey Johnson is a student at UC Davis and a citizen of the State of
24	California. Ms. Johnson has been enrolled at UC Davis since 2018 and her anticipated graduation
25	date is June 2021. Ms. Johnson is a wildlife, fish, conservation biology studies major.
26	18. Prior to Defendant's breach of the 1994 Agreement, Ms. Johnson enrolled in PE
27	courses for academic credit during the winter, spring and fall quarters of 2019, and the winter and
28	fall quarters 2020. Ms. Johnson intended to enroll in PE courses for academic credit during the

28

27

25

26

24.

largest campus by student enrollment in the University of California system, with over 39,000

Located at One Shields Avenue, Davis, California, 95616, UC Davis is the third

institutions and receives the fees paid by the students.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

curriculum of activities classes" and that PE "courses continue to be very popular offerings

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

- 10 -**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

27

28

<sup>27</sup> *Id*.

<sup>28</sup> *Id.* (emphasis added).

Services Maintenance Fee, UC Davis promised to continue offering its students the unique

4

5

2

Answers" document was circulated. Therein, it was explained that the vote was tied to "PE-1 classes" and absent a yes vote, "there would immediately be fewer classes and as tenured coaches

resigned or retired, **PE** classes for credit would eventually disappear:"30

6

## Questions and Answers about the

In addition to the informational handbill, a Student Activities Fee "Questions and

7 8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

<sup>30</sup> Exhibit B (emphasis added).

<sup>29</sup> *Id*.

<sup>31</sup> See https://cosaf.ucdavis.edu/sites/g/files/dgvnsk1561/files/inline-files/SASI 1994.pdf (last accessed May 21, 2021).

## STUDENT ACTIVITIES AND SERVICES INITIATIVE

## What's in it for me?

- · Quality of life... A diverse university offers a number of educational, as well as enriching experiences for its students, faculty and staff. It's crucial that we ensure the future of one of the most enriching and visible aspects of our university - ATHLETICS AND RECREATION.
- Leave a legacy... A positive vote will preserve an active campus environment for current and future students at UC Davis. It also sends a message to the administration that having this valuable program is something that is not only desirable, but essential.
- · Imagine a university without athletics... A university cannot consider itself a comprehensive institution without offering a number of diverse programs for its students. With no football, basketball, volleyball, gymnastics, etc., there would be less to do on campus on Friday and Saturday nights, no baseball or softball games to attend on a sunny Friday afternoon after classes... No NCAA playoffs, national champions or new members in the Athletic Hall of Fame.
- · No team fees for intramurals and a continuation of expanded opportunities... Without the continued support of the Student Services Maintenance Fee, participation fees would again become necessary for team sport IM offerings.
- Continuation of PE-1 classes without fees... Simply, without a successful initiative, faculty would not be available to teach aerobics, weight training, tennis, golf or other activity classes currently taught by coaches. While some courses would continue for a period of time, there would immediately be fewer classes and as tenured coaches resigned or retired, PE1 classes for credit would eventually disappear.
- 56. On May 18, 1994, with a passing vote of 52%, UC Davis students approved option 1 of the referendum thereby allowing the continuance of the Services Maintenance Fee and a Student Activities Fee of \$34 per student per quarter, with the following provisions: (1) implementation of the Student Activities Fee will be phased as follows; \$14 per student per quarter effective Fall Quarter 1994, increasing to \$17 per student per quarter effective Fall Quarter 1995, and increasing to \$34 per student per quarter effective Fall Quarter 1996 and thereafter; and (2) after 1996-1997, the Services Maintenance Fee and Student Activities Fee will be adjusted annually to reflect fluctuations in the CPI of the State of California.<sup>31</sup>

administration's assertion.

- 63. Following the administration's September 25 announcement, Barbara Jahn, Director of the PE Program, told the student newspaper that "the final decision was made without our input." 36
- 64. Similarly, in a letter sent to Chancellor May and Provost Mary Croughan on October 10, 2020, the Davis Faculty Association stated that it was not previously consulted regarding the possibility of ending the 100-year old PE Program and that it first heard about the decision in an article published in the Davis Enterprise.<sup>37</sup>
- 65. The Davis Faculty Association Co-Chair, Jesse Drew, added that UC Davis had not followed the proper protocols for terminating the PE Program. Mr. Drew stated that "[t]his cannot happen unilaterally...There's a set of procedures for wrapping up and (closing) down programs. For (UCD) to just announce they are shutting [the PE Program] down immediately, with no consultation I think it is a violation of our rights as a faculty."<sup>38</sup>
- 66. The decision to breach the 1994 Agreement by eliminating the PE Program caused an uproar amongst students on the UC Davis campus. Following the announcement, the ASUCD Senate passed a resolution opposing the administration's decision to eliminate the physical education program. The resolution passed unanimously without a single abstention. The resolution reflected that the UC Davis students and faculty members opposed the "campus administration's decision to eliminate the Physical Education (PHE) program, urge that the program, including all current PE lecturers, be reinstated by winter quarter 2021, and urge that administration solicits faculty and student input." The ACUSD resolution was signed by over 4,000 UC Davis students

scrap-pe-program/ (last accessed May 21, 2021).

ucds-plan-to-cut-pe-programs/ (last accessed May 21, 2021).

<sup>36</sup> https://www.davisenterprise.com/news/local/uc-davis-moves-ahead-with-decision-to-

38 https://www.davisenterprise.com/news/local/faculty-association-pushes-back-against-

<sup>37</sup> https://ucdfa.org/2020/10/letter-opposing-elimination-of-pe/ (last accessed May 21, 2021).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 39}$  <u>https://www.davisvanguard.org/2020/12/asucd-passes-resolution-opposing-the-elimination-of-pe/</u>(last accessed May 21, 2021).

scrap-pe-program/ (last accessed May 21, 2021).

scrap-pe-program/ (last accessed May 21, 2021) (emphasis added)...

48 https://www.davisenterprise.com/news/local/uc-davis-moves-ahead-with-decision-to-

28

<sup>- 15 -</sup>

economic injuries including, but not limited to, ascertainable losses arising out of Defendant's

28

1	support of the UC Davis Intercollegiate Athletics Programs.
2	89. Each school year since the 1994 Agreement was entered into, Defendant charged and
3	UC Davis students paid the Student Activities Fee and Services Maintenance Fee.
4	90. Plaintiffs and the Class members paid the Student Activities Fee and Services
5	Maintenance Fee for the 2020-21 school year.
6	91. Defendant breached the contract by unilaterally cancelling the PE Program
7	beginning with the Winter Quarter of 2021.
8	92. Despite cancelling the PE Program, Defendant has not returned the Student
9	Activities Fee or Services Maintenance Fee paid by Plaintiffs and the Class for the 2020-21 school
10	year.
11	93. Defendant has retained monies paid by and which belong to Plaintiffs and the other
12	Class members, without providing them the benefit of their bargain.
13	94. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's elimination of the PE Program,
14	Plaintiffs and the Class suffered damages.
15	95. Plaintiff and the other Class members are entitled to equitable remedies, including
16	specific performance for future academic quarters.
17	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
18	ALTERNATIVE COUNT FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT (CAL. CIVIL CODE § 1559)
19	96. Plaintiffs repeat and allege the allegations in paragraphs above, as if fully alleged
20	herein.
21	97. Plaintiffs bring this claim individually and on behalf of the other Class members.
22	98. Pursuant to California Civil Code §1559, "A contract, made expressly for the benefit
23	of a third person, may be enforced by him at any time before the parties thereto rescind it."
24	99. The 1994 Agreement between Defendant and the UC Davis student body constituted
25	a valid contract with an agreement that UC Davis students would pay the Student Activities Fee and
26	Services Maintenance Fee in the future in exchange for the indefinite continuation of the PE
27	Program.
28	

- 1	
1	any period during which the fees are collected;
2	E. Award Plaintiffs and the Class their costs of this suit, including reasonable attorneys'
3	fees as provided by law; and
4	F. Grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.
5	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
6	Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all causes of action so triable.
7	
8	DATED: May 25, 2021  By: Junie Lee Anduson
9	JENNIE LEE ANDERSON (SBN
10	203586) ANDRUS ANDERSON LLP
11	155 Montgomery Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104
12 13	Telephone: (415) 986-1400 Facsimile: (415) 986-1474
	jennie@andrusanderson.com
14   15	ELIZABETH A. FEGAN (pro hac vice to be filed)
16	FEGAN SCOTT LLC 150 S. Wacker Dr., 24 <sup>th</sup> Floor
17	Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: 312.741.1019
18	Fax: 312.264.0100 beth@feganscott.com
19	JONATHAN D. LINDENFELD (pro
20	hac vice to be filed) FEGAN SCOTT LLC
21	140 Broadway, 46 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10005
22	Telephone: 332.216.2101 Fax: 917.725.9346
23	jonathan@feganscott.com
24	
25	Counsel for Plaintiffs and the proposed Class
26	
27	
28	